



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/564,971

07/24/2006

Greg Hakonson

P544 0002/GNM

4078

720

7590

07/24/2009

OYEN, WIGGS, GREEN & MUTALA LLP

480 - THE STATION

601 WEST CORDOVA STREET

VANCOUVER, BC V6B 1G1

CANADA

EXAMINER

PATEL, TARLA R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3772

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/24/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/564,971	Applicant(s) HAKONSON ET AL.	
	Examiner TARLA R. PATEL	Art Unit 3772	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TARLA R. PATEL. (3) Gavin Mannings.

(2) Michael Phillips. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 71 and 76.

Identification of prior art discussed: Vartia and Dunfee.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A discussion was made that new amended claims would over come the art rejection with reference Vartia and Dunfee to that the examiner agrees that the amended claims would over come the art of record Vartia and Dunfee. Further, the examiner mentioned that an updated search would require and either and office action would be presented or a phone call would be made to resolve any issue with the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tarla R Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772	/Michael Phillips/ Primary Examiner
--	--